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#### Abstract

During three years $(2001,2002,2003)$ several streamflow measurements surveys were carried out in the Anllóns river basin, as well as an integral study of its hydrological characteristics that has provided an important knowledge of its hydrological behaviour. Has been obtained the annual hydrographs for each hydrological year and were carried out its separation into their basic components: surface water and baseflow. The baseflow component of streamflow was obtained by means of HYSEP computer program, developed by USGS). the mean value of the groundwater recharge for the study period was $72 \%$ of the total streamflow of the river. At the same time, with the registered precipitation and the value of the evapotranspiration calculated with the data of the nearest meteorological stations, has been calculated the soil water balance using the Thornthwaite y Matter methodology for each observed year, and the results obtained has been compared with the observed data.
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## INTRODUCTION

The water balance has been defined as "the balance between the income of water from precipitation and snowmelt and the out flow of water by evapotranspiration, groundwater recharge and streamflow" (Dunne, 1978). Since 1944 the water balance has been used for computing seasonal and geographic patterns of irrigation demand, the prediction of streamflow and water-table elevations, the flux of water to lakes... and it is also useful for predicting the effects of weather modification or changes of vegetation cover, on the hydrologic cycle. Obviously, the water balance is a valuable tool in the analysis of water problems in a region.

Given the complexity of the hydrological cycle, is logical suppose that the water balance parameters will be numerous, and the first problem is to have a good knowledge of this factors. There are many different methods to calculate the water balance. In the present study, the selected method was introduced by Thornthwaite in the early's 1944's, and it has been
used for a great variety of purposes and has been modified many times. It calculates an annual water balance using monthly data.

The main objective of this study is compare the results obtained by the water balance an the actual values of runoff observed in the Anllóns river basin.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY AREA

The group of river basins in Galicia (NW Spain) that flow into the Atlantic Ocean -with the exception of the Miño basin- are known as Galicia-Costa. This comprises a total of 21 basins covering an area of $9703.9 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. In this area threre are 17 hydrometric stations from which the flows corresponding to an area of $5059.4 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ have been registered. The Anllóns basin (fig. 1) is one of the most important of Galicia-Costa, and one of the few rivers that flow into the Atlantic Ocean with its natural flow regime. The basin covers an area of $516 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, ranging form river source, in the Coto de Pedrouzo, to the mouth of the Anllóns river basin, in the Ría de Corme e Laxe. The study area comprises a total of $450 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$.


Fig. 1 Anllóns river basin location
Along the 70 km of its length, the Anllóns crosses zones of different materials, which cut in a transverse direction to the main channel of the river. Fig. 2 shows a map of the predominating lithology and land uses in the basin. The lower area of the watershed is
dominated by metamorphic rocks (schist and gneisses) whereas in the central area there are basic rocks. Two-mica alkaline granites are present in a small area in the north and schists predominate in another zone that runs along almost all the upper strip of the catchment and which represents $71 \%$ of its total area. Finally, there is a zone of calcoalkaline granites in the most eastern area.


Fig. 2 Anllóns river basin lithology.

The most area of the basin is occupied by forests, cultivations, and grasslands, and exist a minimum part with urban areas (fig. 3).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Different methods were used depending on the aspects under study; the first part of the study involved the analysis and separation of the annual hydrographs to evaluate the groundwater component of streamflow; in the experimental part of the study flows were measured at different times of the year and in different parts of the Anllóns basin, and in the last part there are the methodology used to calculate the water balance. The techniques used are described briefly as follows.


Fig. 3 Land uses in the Anllóns river basin.

## Hydrograph separation

Ground water component or baseflow is an important contributor for rivers and springs, particularly in wet regions. If we realize an analysis of the observed streamflow hydrographs, an estimation of the surface runoff and the groundwater recharge in the catchment will be obtain, and the surface runoff value can be considered very similar to the direct runoff necessary as input parameter in the water balance estimation. The program used to analyze the annual hydrographs was the HYSEP (Hydrograph Separation) developed by the United State Geological Survey (USGS) (Ronal, 1996), and the separation method used by the program was the local-minimum method.

## Flow measurement

Flow measurement at different points along the main stream channel and at different times of the year was carried out using OTT C-20 and OTT C-2 current meters, and the analysis of the flows was made by the mid-section method (WMO, 1980; Herschy, 1999).

## Water balance

The selected method used to calculate the water balance was the Thornthwaite-Matter method (Dunne, 1978) and the evapotranspiration was calculated using the Penman formula. The meteorological values have been obtained of the nearest three meteorological stations, and the values obtained in previous studies (Díaz-Fierros, 1996). The parameters needed to apply this method are the monthly values of precipitation, evapotranspiration, the value of the direct runoff in the basin and the percentage of water available for runoff. And it is necessary to know the type of vegetation cover in the study area, because the cropland coefficient has an important role in the water balance.

## Results and discussion

During the observed years, were developed several streamflow measurement surveys in the Anllóns basin. Flows were registered continuously for posterior separation of the annual hydrographs. In the fig. 4 are shown the results of the hydrograph separation for the observed hydrological years.


Fig. 4 Hydrograph separation for the observed hydrological years in the Anllóns river basin.

The precipitation, and evapotranspiration registered during the study period can be observed in the table 1. With the aim of obtain a representative precipitation value for the whole basin, were made an interpolation of the precipitation values using the Thiessen polygon method.

The values of direct runoff used have been obtained by the observed hydrograph separation. In the table 2 are shown the monthly results obtained using that technique, and the
percentages corresponding to the total flow and monthly precipitation in the basin. As the calculations are made on an annual basis, it is assumed that there are not changes of soil moisture or groundwater storage over the year. The value adopted for the field capacity in the Anllóns river basin were 148 mm (Díaz-Fierros, 1996), and the monthly runoff in percentage of water available for runoff selected (38\%) was also obtained from Díaz-Fierros, 1996.

Table 1. Precipitation and potential evapotranspiration (mm) in the Anllóns river basin.

|  |  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{mm}$ | Díaz-Fierros,1996 | 162 | 168 | 152 | 113 | 98 | 58 | 33 | 58 | 87 | 116 | 178 | 172 | 1395 |
|  | 2001 | 333 | 90 | 487 | 94 | 121 | 26 | 69 | 28 | 54 | 262 | 25 | 27 | 1554 |
|  | 2002 | 152 | 158 | 97 | 93 | 157 | 79 | 31 | 25 | 57 | 395 | 400 | 340 | 1984 |
|  | 2003 | 289 | 108 | 70 | 159 | 50 | 78 | 112 | 68 | 31 | 206 | 330 | 196 | 1697 |
| ETP, mm | 2001 | 36 | 32 | 48 | 42 | 54 | 72 | 78 | 83 | 65 | 52 | 41 | 42 | 645 |
|  | 2002 | 42 | 37 | 40 | 38 | 50 | 65 | 72 | 75 | 75 | 63 | 44 | 42 | 643 |
|  | 2003 | 26 | 29 | 42 | 46 | 53 | 75 | 78 | 93 | 74 | 48 | 44 | 34 | 642 |

Table 2. Total runoff and surface runoff observed in the Anllóns river basin.

|  | Total runoff        <br>         <br>   Surface runoff <br> mm   Surface runoff <br> (\% precipitation)   $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}^{\mathbf{2 0 0 0}} \mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | 307 | 38 | 288 | 152 | 8 | 119 | 46 | 6 | 41 |
| Feb | 139 | 49 | 282 | 44 | 14 | 41 | 49 | 9 | 38 |
| Mar | 284 | 47 | 86 | 150 | 10 | 26 | 31 | 10 | 37 |
| Apr | 84 | 37 | 65 | 13 | 6 | 18 | 13 | 6 | 11 |
| May | 80 | 41 | 55 | 24 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 5 | 24 |
| Jun | 31 | 35 | 26 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| Jul | 29 | 21 | 30 | 6 | 2 | 14 | 9 | 8 | 12 |
| Aug | 19 | 15 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 4 |
| Sep | 14 | 13 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Oct | 33 | 58 | 19 | 9 | 20 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| Nov | 23 | 166 | 105 | 1 | 43 | 59 | 4 | 11 | 18 |
| Dec | 25 | 210 | 160 | 3 | 61 | 75 | 10 | 18 | 38 |
| Annual | $\mathbf{1 0 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |

The Anllóns river basin is located in the wet region of Spain, and its mean annual precipitation is 1395 mm (Díaz-Fierros, 1996). The annual precipitation value observed for
the study period was 370 mm greater than the historical average. The fig. 5 shows the general scheme for the water balance in the Anllóns watershed for the observed years.


Fig. 5 Water balance for the three observed years in the Anllóns river basin.

From October until March the precipitation is greater than the evapotranspiration, there is a moisture surplus in the soil. Since the demand is smaller than the offer, the groundwater resources and the surface streams are fed with this excess of water. However, in the dry months (from April to August) the evapotranspiration is greater than the precipitation, is a period of soil moisture deficit. Later on, in the month of October, when the rainy season starts, the rain feeds the soil moisture, and the new soil moisture surplus will feed the groundwater and the surface streams again.

The first year observed (2001) had a precipitation of 1554 mm and an observed runoff of 1067 mm (the $68 \%$ of the precipitation). The direct runoff in this period was 405 mm , what supposes a third part of the total runoff. The temperatures oscillated between the $9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in February and $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in August, and the evapotranspiration was 605 mm . The lower value of evapotranspiration was registered in de month of August, with the higher temperatures. The first three months of the year 2001 were very rainy ( 909 mm ) almost twice as much of the value for these three months of the historical data (Díaz-Fierros, 1996). In the following months the behaviour of the precipitation was very different, there was a clear decrease, and
the remaining precipitation ( 706 mm ) was distributed for the rest of the year, 392 mm from April to September and only 313 mm from October to December. If compare this values with the historical data, we can observe a clear deficit of precipitation for the autumnal months.

In the year 2002 the precipitation distribution was clearly different in comparison with the distribution of the previous year. The precipitation for January, February and March was 408 mm , the $21 \%$ of the total precipitation for the year 2002, and the maximum value was registered in the last three months of the year $(1135 \mathrm{~mm})$ with a $57 \%$ of the total rain. The precipitation registered in the spring and summer was 441 mm . The year 2002 was the rainiest in the observed period but the shortage of precipitations of most of the year 2001 and 2002 played an important role in the runoff generation. The maximum value of temperature was registered in August $\left(17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and the minimum in February $\left(10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The evapotranspiration for the year 2002 was 643 mm , very similar to the value registered in 2001.

The last year observed (2003) had a very similar behaviour, but the runoff generation was clearly different. In 2003, the annual precipitation was 1697 mm , and October, November and December, were the rainiest months with 732 mm (the $41 \%$ of the total precipitation). The temperature values oscillated between the $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in January and the $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in August.

The water balance results show a good adjustment for the first observed year, with a coefficient of determination of 0.86 . The second and third observed years present a lightly inferior correlation between the observed flows and the calculated ones, with values for the coefficients of determination of 0.73 and 0.72 .

The first months of 2001 were specially wet, but the period between March and December had an important decrease of precipitation that presents a clear effect in the results obtained in the water balance for the next year. The second year (2002) was clearly influenced by the shortage of precipitation registered the last months of 2001, that caused an outflow of the soil water and its corresponding increase of the water retention by the soil in the months with a
significant precipitation that witch caused a clear decrease of the observed runoff in the watershed. This reason can explain the worst adjustment in the results for the second observed year. The results for the water balance can be observed in the next figure (fig. 6).


Fig. 6 Comparison between the ROTL observed and the ROTL calculated using the water balance model for each one of the years observed.

The fig. 7 shows the results obtained with the water balance for the whole period and make a comparison of the total runoff observed in the catchment and the runoff calculated for the whole observed period. The scattering plot shows a relatively good adjustment of the results obtained for the whole period of observations with a coefficient of determination of 0.89 .


Fig. 7 Comparison between the ROTL (runoff including direct runoff) observed in the Anllóns river basin and the ROTL calculated using the water balance model for the three recorded years.

## CONCLUSIONS

One of the main problems of water balance calculations in basins is the extrapolation of pointmeasured data to superficial characteristics. Basin precipitation was calculated as the weighed average based on data from the recording gauges. There are two main sources of error in the calculation of a basin water balance. The first relates to measurement or calculation of basic components of the water balance equation, and the second source of errors results from variability of basin conditions of precipitation and evapotranspiration. The runoff is generally the most accurately measured water balance component.

The results showed a very important influence of the capacity of retention of water of the basin. If we increase the capacity of retention of water in the basin causing this way an important decrease of the available water for runoff (only 5\%), we see that the adjustment of the results in the year 2002 is improved considerably, being the coefficient of determination of 0.82 .

In the analysis of the results is necessary to highlight that the crop coefficient has stayed constant and equal to one during all the seasons, so is possible an improvement of the results carrying out an appropriate adjustment of the value of this coefficient.
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